

The Gazette of India

सत्यमेव जयते

EXTRAORDINARY PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (II) PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 59] NEW DELHI, FRIDAY, MARCH 25, 1960/CHAITRA 5, 1882

MINISTRY OF LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 25th March 1960

S.O. 784.—Whereas by an order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. 1/24/60-II-LR.II, dated the 25th March 1960, an industrial dispute between the employers in relation to Kendwadih colliery and their workmen has been referred to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby prohibits the continuance of the strike in existence in the said colliery in connection with the said dispute,

[No. 1/24/60-I-LR.II.]

S.O. 785.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Kendwadih Colliery and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

- (1) Whether the management was justified in not including the wages earned by the workmen for the four paid festival holidays during the quarter ending December 1959, for the purposes of calculating the bonus for the said quarters? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?
- (2) Whether the management was justified in discontinuing the practice of deducting from the underground allowance of the workmen, towards their provident fund contribution and also not making their (the management's) contribution on this accounts? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?

[No. 1/24/60-II-LR.II.]

P. M. MENON, Secy.

(205)

